CHIRON CORPORATION Internal Memorandum

DATE:

March 26, 1993

TO:

B. Parry

FROM:

L.G. Privari (x3119) / P. S. Wong (x4139)

C.C.

J. King, T. Shafique

SUBJECT:

Finn-Aqua Clean Steam Generator

We have received a response from Finn Aqua regarding the existing 1500-H-1 Clean Steam generator performance, based on the original test results prior to shipment in April 1988. See attached Telefax message to Peter Simpson from Anne Komppa dated March 23, 1993.

This report indicates that the unit has produced 40% more capacity during the test, than the manufacturers published figures. Allowances were made for reduced capacity over the years, due to stains on the heat exchangers.

The Chiron test results are summarized on the attached table. No conclusions can be drawn from the results, due to trends that are exactly opposite to what it supposed to be. The exact 20 % blow down was not achieved which would have helped. As the clean steam pressure increases the efficiency of the unit supposed to go down. The trend indicates that it was going up, which is unacceptable. Since we can not trust the results, therefore we can not determine the exact capacity the unit would generate.

Suggest to use the manufacturers graph to determine the performance of the unit, and multiply by approx 30% which is just slightly under Finn-Aqua's original test results. The water quality appears to be very good, and not much fouling has built up in the exchanger.

At the revised 7.5 barg plant steam and 3.5 barg clean steam, the graph shows 75% efficiency, which gives 2730*.75*1.3 = 2660 lb/hr total.

Since the total requirement are 2,900 lb/hr, a second smaller unit is recommended to make up for the possible shortcoming. A Finn Aqua 500-S-1 will produce approx 952 lb/hr, or somewhat more with the new machine. The existing 1500-H-1 plus the new 500-S-1 will produce enough to support the current equipment plus another 300 ft sq Lyophilizer.

The 1500-H-1 unit should run at full capacity. Should the demand call for additional capacity, than the 500-S-1 lag unit will come on, as needed.

Please advise if this is acceptable, then I will obtain a quotation for the 500-S-1 unit and the required accessories. A required delivery date would be helpful.

G:\cleanstm

FINN-AQUA SANTASALO-SOHLBERG, CORP.

TELEFAX MESSAGE

TO:

AMSCO SCIENTIFIC/FINN-AQUA

March 23, 1993

ATTN:

Mr. Mr. Peter Simpson

Fax: Amsco

cc. Mr. Bill W. Ruley

No. of pages: 4

FROM:

Mrs. Anne Komppa

Tel +358 (0) 258 51 Fax +358 (0) 256 019

Finn-Aqua Santasalo-Sohlberg, Teollisuustie 2, SF-04300 Tuusula, Finland

RE: Your fax dated March 19.1993/CHIRON tearuns

I reviewed this case with our designers. The conclusion is that there is nothing wrong with this unit especially when compared with the testrunning results.

This unit produced about 40 % more in testrunning than the figures give. Each unit has to produce the amount we have given in figures. Also there is marginal for the unit getting stains in use -which makes the capacity get lower. Each unit is slightly different compared to other units same size. That makes differencies in production when same size of units would be compared to each others in productivity.

Also there are differencies when compared productivity per figure values in 100, 200, 300...5000-S-1 units. 1500-S-1 is very good in production when compared to others.

It is very difficult to say exactly how much a certain unit would produce, but we always promise our unit produces pure steam the amount given in the curve. Closer estimate of the production can be given from the factory, but we never PROMISE it.

Hope this will help you proceeding with this case, If further questions, please don't hesitate contacting me.

Please see the testrunning protocol enclosed

Best regards,

Anne Komppa

TEST PROTOCOL	
KOEAJOPÖYTÄKIRJA/	198 <u>8</u>

FINN-AQUA pure steam generator FINN-AQUA puhtaan höyryn kehittäjä

Type No. Tyyppi <u>1500/41</u>	Nominal capaci Nimellistuotto	_	kg/h		
	at a pressure paineella	\$	bar		
Customer Tilaaja	s/USA		· -	. ;	-
Serial No. Valmistusnumero	36/8	16/206	72		
Test circumstances Koeajao-olosuhteet	2-50 H	Yryla"			
	2,2 kW 460 V 60 Hz 41 A		TRANSFORME MUUNTAJA O. T MBOLER	R kw V	
FEED WATER SYÖTTÖVESI		e.			
Raw water quality, from Hels Raakaveden laatu, lähde vesi	1	Hardness Kovuus			одн
Pretreatment softened Esikäsittely pe hmenne tty	n, waihds,	fle .			
Pressure Paine (72)				6,5	bar
Temperatura					

Lämpötila

Test protocol made by Koeajon suoritti	#XEL
•	

15 / <u>4</u> 198<u>c</u>

Equipment accepted by Laitteen hyväksyi

Em long lop 13

198



SANTASALO-SOHLBERG CORP.

25+358 0 256019

P.O.BOX 74 SF-04301 HYRYLÁ, FINLAND ...

: +358-0-25851

TELEX 125724 SASO SF

€7 OBLE64XB\$58-0-256019

FINN-AQUA PASSIVATION CERTIFICATE

unit: FINN-AQUA FA 1500H/ water still/pure steam generator serial no. 36196 work no. 20672.

PROCEDURE:

 All parts manufactured by FINN- AQUA have been passivated with a solution of composition:

3% HF "hydrofluoric acid" (by volume)

20% HNOz "nitric acid" (by volume)

77% Deionized water

Liquid temperature 25..35 degrees Centigrade (77..95 F)

Treatment time 10..20 minutes

Parts were rinsed in deionized water.

Treatment time 1-2 hours

Parts were cleaned with high pressure steam (to remove scale)

accepted	by qualit	dep. Kema Kielepi	Ma / Ol-Engineer
certifica	tion date	18.4.1988	,

Oy Santasalo-Solberg Ab, Hyrylä, Finland

CHIRON CORPORATION FINN AQUA Clean Steam Generator testing review

File: G:\cleanstm

		percent							generated	calc	generated
plant	clean	•	feed	time	blow dn	blow dn	blow dn	feed wtr	clean	clean	percent
•				measured	amount	amount	percent	to stm	steam	steam	over
barg	barg	graph	ltr/min	blow dn.	liter	itr/min -		liter	· lb/hr	lb/hr	actual
5	1.5	75	20	6	5	0.83	4.2	19.2	2,534	2,048	124
<u></u>			22.5	3	4	1.33	5.9	21.2	2,799	2,048	137
				3	5	1.67	6.7	23.3	3,085	2,048	151
				<u> </u>	8	2.67	9.5	25.3	3,350	2,048	164
					33	5.50	16.7	27.5	3,636	2,048	178
	1.0										
5	3	35	27	3	20	6.67	24.7	20.3	2,689	956	281
				3	18	6.00	24.0	19.0	2,512	956	263
						-					•
5	3.5	25	21	3	17	5.67	27.0	15.3	2,027		
			19	3	14	4.67	24.6	14.3	1,895	683	278
			17	. 3	11	3.67	21.6	13.3	1,763	683	
	<u> </u>		15	3	10	3.33	22.2	11.7	1,543	683	226
	0.0							1			
5	. 4	12	12	3	10	3.33	27.8	8.7			
		12	11.5	3	8	2.67	23.2	8.8	1,168		·
	4	12	10	3	7	2.33	23.3	7.7	1,014	328	309
	plant steam barg 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5	steam barg steam barg 5 1.5 5 1.5 5 1.5 5 1.5 5 3.5 5 3.5 5 3.5 5 3.5 5 3.5 5 3.5 5 3.5 5 3.5 5 3.5 5 4 5 4 5 4 5 4	steam barg steam graph from graph 5 1.5 75 5 1.5 75 5 1.5 75 5 1.5 75 5 1.5 75 5 3 35 5 3.5 25 5 3.5 25 5 3.5 25 5 3.5 25 5 3.5 25 5 3.5 25 5 3.5 25 5 4 12 5 4 12 5 4 12	plant steam steam barg clean barg total feed water graph 5 1.5 75 20 5 1.5 75 22.5 5 1.5 75 25 5 1.5 75 28 5 1.5 75 33 5 3 35 27 5 3.5 25 21 5 3.5 25 19 5 3.5 25 17 5 3.5 25 15 5 4 12 12 5 4 12 11.5	plant steam steam barg clean steam from barg total from graph feed water measured ltr/min blow dn. 5 1.5 75 20 6 5 1.5 75 22.5 3 5 1.5 75 25 3 5 1.5 75 28 3 5 1.5 75 28 3 5 1.5 75 33 6 5 3 35 27 3 5 3.5 25 21 3 5 3.5 25 19 3 5 3.5 25 17 3 5 3.5 25 15 3 5 4 12 12 3 5 4 12 11.5 3	plant steam steam barg total from steam barg from water measured litr/min blow dn. amount liter 5 1.5 75 20 6 5 5 1.5 75 22.5 3 4 5 1.5 75 25 3 5 5 1.5 75 28 3 8 5 1.5 75 28 3 8 5 1.5 75 33 6 33 5 3 35 27 3 20 5 3 35 25 3 18 5 3.5 25 21 3 17 5 3.5 25 19 3 14 5 3.5 25 17 3 11 5 3.5 25 15 3 10 5 4 12 12 3 10 5 4	plant steam clean steam total from graph feed water measured measured amount liter blow dn amount liter blow dn liter 5 1.5 75 20 6 5 0.83 5 1.5 75 22.5 3 4 1.33 5 1.5 75 25 3 5 1.67 5 1.5 75 28 3 8 2.67 5 1.5 75 28 3 8 2.67 5 1.5 75 33 6 33 5.50 5 3 35 27 3 20 6.67 5 3 35 25 3 18 6.00 5 3.5 25 19 3 14 4.67 5 3.5 25 17 3 11 3.67 5 3.5 25 17 3 11 3.67 5 3	plant steam steam barg total from barg feed masured barg time amount blow dn amount blow dn amount blow dn. blow dn amount liter blow dn amount liter blow dn amount liter 5 1.5 75 20 6 5 0.83 4.2 5 1.5 75 22.5 3 4 1.33 5.9 5 1.5 75 25 3 5 1.67 6.7 5 1.5 75 28 3 8 2.67 9.5 5 1.5 75 28 3 8 2.67 9.5 5 1.5 75 33 6 33 5.50 16.7 5 3 35 27 3 20 6.67 24.7 5 3 35 25 3 18 6.00 24.0 5 3.5 25 19 3 14 4.67 24.6 5 3.5 25 17 3	plant steam steam barg total from barg feed water measured blow dn. blow dn. blow dn amount liter blow dn amount liter blow dn amount liter blow dn blow dn. blow dn amount liter blow dn blow dn. blow dn blow dn. blow dn blow dn. blow dn blow dn. blow dn amount liter blow dn blow dn. blow dn blow dn. blow dn amount liter blow dn blow dn. blow dn blow dn. blow dn amount liter blow dn amount	plant steam steam barg total barg feed from barg time barg blow dn amount blow dn. blow dn amount liter blow dn amount liter blow dn amount liter blow dn feed wtr percent clean steam lb/hr 5 1.5 75 20 6 5 0.83 4.2 19.2 2,534 5 1.5 75 22.5 3 4 1.33 5.9 21.2 2,799 5 1.5 75 22.5 3 4 1.33 5.9 21.2 2,799 5 1.5 75 25 3 5 1.67 6.7 23.3 3,085 5 1.5 75 28 3 8 2.67 9.5 25.3 3,350 5 1.5 75 33 6 33 5.50 16.7 27.5 3,636 5 3 35 27 3 20 6.67 24.7 20.3 2,689 5 3.5 25 21 </td <td>plant steam steam barg total from barg total liter blow dn liter</td>	plant steam steam barg total from barg total liter blow dn liter

Conclusion:

The generated clean steam over the calculated clean steam percentage was calculated from the test data.

The results do not make any sence, since the efficiency goes up instead of going down with the increased clean steam pressure.

Suggest to ignore the results, and use the manufacturers graph data.